UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 3 - - - - -) CAPITAL RECORDS, INC., ET AL.,) CV. NO. 03-11661-NG 4 5 PLAINTIFFS) VS.) COURTROOM NO. 2 6 7 NOOR ALAUJAN, ET AL.,) 1 COURTHOUSE WAY 8 DEFENDANTS) BOSTON, MA 02210 9 - - - - - - - - -) LONDON-SIRE RECORDS, INC.,) CV. NO. 04-12434-NG 10 11 ET AL.,) 12 PLAINTIFFS) 13 VS.) DOES 1-4, 14) 15 DEFENDANTS) 16 17 MOTION HEARING JUNE 17, 2008 18 19 2:58 P.M. 20 BEFORE THE HONORABLE NANCY GERTNER 21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 22 23 VALERIE A. O'HARA 24 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 25

APPEARANCES: 1 For The Plaintiffs: 2 Arnowitz & Goldberg, by SIMON B. MANN, ESQ., 3 4 Charlesview Road, Suite 4, Boston, Massachusetts 02441, 4 for the Plaintiffs; 5 Robinson & Cole, LLP, by CLAIRE NEWTON, ATTORNEY, One Boston Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02108-4404, for the Plaintiffs; 6 7 Holme, Roberts & Owen LLP, by LAURIE J. RUST, ATTORNEY, and EVE GOLDSTEIN BURTON, ATTORNEY, 1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 4100, Denver, Colorado 80203-4541, for the 8 Plaintiffs. 9 ALSO PRESENT: 10 Joel Tenenbaum 11 Judie Tenebaum Tracy Lawrence 12 Melissa DeSisto Alphon Atkinson 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE CLERK: All rise. United States District 3 Court is now in session. THE COURT: You can all be seated. Let me sort of 4 5 start by just going through where we are. There have 6 been by my count 133 cases against named individuals, 7 and there is some quantity which we didn't quantify yet 8 against unnamed individuals, and what we have today are 9 really three levels of cases, there are those who have 10 answered and who are named, and there are various 11 discovery motions with respect to them. 12 In that category these include people who I just 13 wanted to name the people in that category, 14 Mr. Hallahan, Shawn Scott, Mr. Tenenbaum, Eugenia and 15 Alex Shnayder. Okay. Then the next category are those 16 who have not answered or ever appeared in the case in 17 any way. They were issued an order to appear for this 18 hearing, and if they did not, a default judgment would 19 issue, and those individuals are Tracy Lawrence, 20 Richard Dubrock, Donna Scott, Melissa Desisto, Kristina 21 Ferrara, Michael Sturge, Maria Mojica, Edward Dutcher, 22 Elisa Cantone, Mandy Ladebauche, Robin Heenan, Yesenia 23 Crespo, Henry Goldfarb, Christopher Savasta and 24 possibly Robert Pena, then there are those individuals 25 who have answered or as to whom a judgment entered,

either because there was a settlement judgment or because they defaulted and the record companies are seeking to collect on the judgment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

So let's start first with the people who have answered and as to whom there are discovery issues, in other words, where the record companies are seeking to get information or trying to get, seeking information, let's put it that way. There is a motion to preserve evidence as to Ryan Hallahan, Mr. Hallahan's, the parties have stipulated to Mr. Hallahan's dismissal, so this motion is moot; does everyone agree?

MS. RUST: That is correct, your Honor.

13THE COURT: Shawn Scott, the defendants have moved14to dismiss the case against Shawn Scott without15prejudice.

MS. RUST: That is correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: That would be dismissed.

Mr. Tenenbaum, did anyone get in touch with you? I sent numbers of lawyers your way.

MR. TENENBAUM: No.

THE COURT: So you have filed a motion to amend your answer to raise a whole host of constitutional issues. I will allow you to amend your answer. I still want to find you a lawyer, I'm not giving up. Did you get a call from an individual named Charlie

Nesson or someone from the Berkman Center? 1 2 MR. TENENBAUM: No, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Talk to my clerk and make sure we have 4 all of your numbers. 5 MS. RUST: Your Honor, if I may, can plaintiffs 6 clarify, please, is Mr. Tenenbaum permitted to amend 7 his answer in order to assert an affirmative defense in 8 counterclaim, and which affirmative defense? 9 THE COURT: I'm allowing him to amend his Answer 10 to include all the grounds that he has listed. I hope to have counsel for him to be able to pare it down, but 11 right now I'd allow him to amend the Answer, and we'll 12 13 sort it out later. MS. RUST: Thank you, your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: At the end of this proceeding, 16 Mr. Tenenbaum, if you could talk to Ms. Molloy and make 17 sure we have all your recent numbers. A motion to 18 dismiss the Shnayders --19 MS. RUST: Your Honor, pardon, if I may, regarding 20 Tenenbaum before we move on. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 MS. RUST: Plaintiffs recently submitted to the 2.3 Court a letter regarding the status of this case. It's 24 about Mr. Tenenbaum and Mr. Shnayder. In that letter, 25 plaintiffs indicated that this case has essentially

been stayed since January 29th, since the previous 1 2 status conference, and, in fact, your Honor, upon 3 review of the file, it actually appears this case has been stayed since approximately October of 2007. 4 At. 5 this point in time, plaintiffs do request permission to proceed with discovery as they have served discovery 6 7 but have not received any responses from defendant. 8 THE COURT: Outstanding discovery requests have 9 been served? 10 MS. RUST: Correct, your Honor, they were served on defendant on December 5th, 2008. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MS. TENENBAUM: Your Honor, if I may, my son has a 14 letter. 15 MR. TENENBAUM: I have a letter dated 16 April 1st, 2008, writing to the plaintiff as to why I 17 had not responded saying I was waiting to hear about 18 defense counsel provided for by the Court. 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MR. TENENBAUM: I wrote this letter, telling 21 them why it was delayed. 22 THE COURT: The delay at this point, really we 23 have been trying to get him counsel, and I think he has 24 a right to rely on my representations that he can get 25 counsel. If your point is going forward, that's a

different issue. What I'm going to do then is I'm 1 2 going to give him -- because we've continued to try to 3 get him counsel, I'm going to give him two months to 4 respond to the discovery which will be then 5 mid-August. THE CLERK: Do you want me to give a date? 6 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 THE CLERK: 17th, it would have to be August 18th, 9 it's a Monday. 10 THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Tenenbaum, I'm still going 11 to try to see if we can get counsel for you, but if we 12 cannot, you will be obliged to respond. 13 MR. TENENBAUM: Thank you, your Honor. MS. RUST: Your Honor, while we're on this case, 14 15 if Mr. Tenenbaum is permitted until August 18th to 16 respond to discovery, we will have past the deadlines 17 set by this Court in the scheduling order that was set 18 at the January 29th status conference. 19 THE COURT: So you submit something that reflects 20 changed deadlines. 21 MS. RUST: Should we confer with defendant 22 regarding that? 23 THE COURT: Yes, you should. 24 MS. RUST: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, 25 would it be possible to set August 18th as the deadline

in which to submit an Amended Answer as well? 1 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MS. RUST: Thank you, your Honor. MS. TENENBAUM: Is it possible, your Honor, we 4 5 could reschedule? My son has some information that he would like to share with the Court, and that's 6 7 information these people want that might be a helpful 8 thing to sort of cut to the chase for a little bit, for 9 example, he got a letter saying that we've been 10 represented by counsel. She never represented him, and I don't know if that will help speed up any of what it 11 12 is. 13 THE COURT: No, the thing is this: I've said this 14 before in open court. There is a huge imbalance in 15 these cases. The record companies are represented by 16 large lawfirms with substantial resources. The law is 17 also overwhelmingly on their side. They bring cases 18 against individuals, individuals who don't have lawyers 19 and don't have access to lawyers and who don't 20 understand their legal rights. 21 Some category of individuals are defaulted because 22 they read the summons, and they haven't the foggiest 23 idea what it means and don't know where to go, so 24 they're defaulted, and they owe money anywhere from 25 \$3,000 to \$10,000 as a result of these defaults.

Sometimes they answer and get counsel, and because the law is so overwhelmingly on the side of the record companies, there's a negotiated settlement which is slightly lower than the settlement the people that are unrepresented have been getting, in other words, with a lawyer you can get some kind of leverage, but it is a delaying game in some sense, and I'm allowing Mr. Tenenbaum's motion to amend his complaint to add additional, amend his answer rather to add additional claims because if someone wants to fight these, they should be able to fight these complaints.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

As I said, it does not make sense, however, to fight them alone. It simply doesn't make sense to fight them as an individual, per se, and to some degree you run the risk that the longer you litigate without really having a basis to do so, the longer you fight without having a basis to do so, the plaintiff's legal fees go up and up.

I can't say this is a situation that is a good situation or a fair situation, it is, however, the situation. So, while your son might have things to say about counsel or not counsel, if you really wish to stand and fight, you need to have legal representation because otherwise all you're going to do is stand in place, their fees go up and we'll end this case with

the higher end of the statutory damages rather than the 1 2 lower end. Really these cases have been resolved 3 anywhere from \$3,000 to \$10,000. MRS. TENENBAUM: My son was offered \$12,000, your 4 5 Honor, and every time we appear that goes up. We've 6 offered it time and time again since this very 7 inception. They won't --8 Is that right? Have they been trying THE COURT: 9 to compromise the claim without a lawyer? 10 MS. RUST: Your Honor, I believe that Mrs. Tenenbaum is referring to negotiations that took 11 12 place before the January 29th status conference in 13 which you said that you would appoint pro bono counsel. When Mr. Tenenbaum filed his motion to amend his motion 14 15 for summary judgment and his motion to dismiss, at that 16 point in time we did in fact -- and two motions for 17 sanctions, we did in fact discuss settlement. At that 18 point we did have a settlement number and we did 19 explain exactly what you've just said that as our legal 20 fees go up, so will the settlement amount that we 21 offer. 22 I'm going to accept his motion to THE COURT: 23 I'm going to keep the deadlines, but at the amend. 24 conclusion of this, I order a settlement conference to

take place right here and now so that this is the end.

25

You know, it seems to me that counsel representing the record companies have an ethical obligation to fully understand that they are fighting people without lawyers, to fully understand that, more than just how do we serve them, but just to understand that the formalities of this are basically bankrupting people, and it's terribly critical that you stop it, so there will be a settlement conference in the Tenenbaum case at the conclusion of this hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 What is the situation with respect to Shnayder? MS. RUST: Your Honor, it appears that 11 12 Mr. Shnayder has not appeared today in controvention of 13 your Honor's order. In fact, Mr. Shnayder has never 14 answered the complaint. He was served in February with 15 a copy of the complaint, and since that point in time, 16 plaintiff's counsel has made several attempts to reach 17 out to Mr. Shnayder, both by telephone and in writing. 18 I believe I included a copy of the most recent letter 19 to Mr. Shnayder in May reminding him of his obligation 20 to answer the complaint and letting him know that if he 21 did not answer the complaint that plaintiffs reserved 22 their right to seek a default judgment against him.

In addition, your Honor, my colleague, Eve Burton, did have an extensive conversation with Mr. Shnayder at the conclusion of the January 29th status conference to

make sure that he understood that he had admitted liability for the infringement of the copyright and that we wished to -- that we wished to settle this claim rather than proceed with a motion for summary judgment. He promised he would get back to us regarding settlement. Contrary to that, your Honor, he has refused to return phone calls, he has refused to engage in any conversation. THE COURT: Are you sure you have his address?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

25

MS. RUST: I am sure, your Honor. I have contacted him, I have spoken to him briefly on the phone, but he simply refuses to engage in this conversation.

THE COURT: And so what are you proposing, I 15 default him and whatever you want to charge should be charged to him? What are you proposing?

17 MS. RUST: My proposal, your Honor, is that we 18 give him leave to default Mr. Shnayder in addition to 19 failing to answer the complaint, in addition to failing 20 to appear at this conference after having received your 21 Honor's order and in addition to flatly refusing to 22 engage in any form of settlement negotiations, 23 plaintiffs believe that the only course of action left 24 is to default him.

THE COURT: File a motion to default and I will

look at it. Serve it on him. Give me proof that it 1 2 has been served on him. 3 MS. RUST: Your Honor, do you prefer personal 4 service? 5 THE COURT: Personal service. 6 MS. RUST: Thank you, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: The difficulty, of course, now is that 8 we are five years into this case and so there are 9 people who have already paid numbers that I've 10 described, so to some degree by giving a break, assuming I could, to the defendants going forward it is 11 12 unfair to the people who have in fact paid these 13 amounts of money. In other words, what I've done in 14 this case, the best that I can do given the state of 15 the law and the unequal resources is to try to level 16 the playing field as best I can, to try to find 17 lawyers, the lawyers look at a case in which the law is 18 so overwhelmingly on the side of the record companies 19 and say why should we get involved? 20 So the group of lawyers that we're trying to get 21 to represent you all is not a very large group, which 22 is why we've had difficulty assigning lawyers to you. 23 So, you're left to deal with very substantial 24 defendants on your own, and as I said, the most I can 25 do is make sure that you know what's going on, that you

know what you're facing, that if we can get you 1 2 lawyers, we'll get you lawyers, and I'll entertain motions that you have. 3 So that's with respect to Shnayder, you're going 4 5 to file a motion to default; with respect to Hallahan, Hallahan is dismissed? 6 7 That's correct, your Honor. MS. RUST: 8 Then there are those people who have THE COURT: 9 never been represented or appeared in the case in any 10 way even though they were served, is that right? 11 That's the next category. 12 MS. RUST: Correct, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: You have asked for -- you have filed motions for default in each of these cases? 14 15 That's correct, your Honor. MS. RUST: 16 THE COURT: Now, the damages in these cases, are 17 any of these individuals present, Tracy Lawrence, 18 you're here? 19 MS. LAWRENCE: I'm here, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Why don't you stand. The record 21 companies have moved to default you and request damages 22 in the amount of almost \$6,000. Do you understand 23 that? 24 I do, your Honor. MS. LAWRENCE: 25 THE COURT: You could pay the money and settle the

case, you can negotiate with them to see if there's some way around it, you can try to get a lawyer to try to set aside the default. Do you know what you want to do?

1

2

3

4

25

5 MS. LAWRENCE: Your Honor, this case has been 6 going for about four or five years, as you stated. 7 Originally -- obviously I can't afford an attorney, and 8 I've tried to find attorneys, and there's a shortage or 9 none available. Originally I had tried to settle 10 several times because, once again, we had a computer in the main area of our home which had several uses, so 11 rather -- not that I was admitting guilt, but rather 12 13 than continuing this, and the fees were getting pretty 14 large, I have tried to settle.

15 When I told them my financial status, they had 16 sent a constable to look at some papers to my home, 17 which is the home of my boyfriend, and it just happens 18 to be a larger home. I did have an attorney at one 19 point, and he had called me. He said, well, they've 20 seen your house. It's not my home, my name is not on 21 They were faxed information that shows I have it. 22 nothing to do with this home, it's my boyfriend's home 23 probably owned for five or six years, we've been there 24 for two years.

I filed a hardship with the attorney that was sent

to them, and then there was some paperwork that had gone back and forth, and the attorney had an incorrect date. It was probably dated for August and backdated or whatnot, so last I had heard there was nothing. My attorneys sent them a hardship. I never heard another word, had no idea what the status was, assumed that maybe because of my hardship and being a single parent that they just decided I wasn't worth pursuing, and then once again I get another paper saying they would like to reopen it. I have called on numerous occasions before. I never heard about by hardship, I didn't continue to contact them, however, I did leave the attorneys several messages.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

THE COURT: You understand, here's the problem. 14 Ι 15 keep on explaining the very same thing. They file a 16 complaint, you have to answer. If when the complaint 17 is served on you, you don't answer, they come in and 18 they default you, which means they're entitled to the 19 relief they requested because you haven't fought, 20 right. They're not obliged to settle with you, but 21 they certainly should. The longer you wait without a 22 lawyer, without fighting, the more the damages mount. 23 MS. LAWRENCE: I did, they had contact with my 24 attorney. 25 THE COURT: You had an attorney?

MR. LAWRENCE: I did. I never met with him because he did a little bit of work for me which I'm sure you have the paperwork. I no longer have the paperwork. I'm no longer in the home. He did my hardship papers. At that point I wasn't working, at this point, I am, but all that information was provided.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

THE COURT: Is that right, Ms. Rust?

9 MS. RUST: Yes, your Honor. Your Honor, we were 10 in contact with an attorney named Richard Comenzo. He 11 represented that Ms. Lawrence was a single mother and 12 did have financial difficulties. When we did a quick 13 public records search, we did see that she lives in a home that was over \$300,000 and was associated with a 14 15 home that was valued at \$675,000. Now, wanting to 16 believe the attorney, we said we would certainly extend 17 the opportunity to tell us what your financial 18 situation is so that the record companies could make an 19 appropriate settlement amount, and we did explain, your 20 Honor, that we would need some information as to who 21 lived in this house worth \$675,000 because our 22 understanding was it was the defendant. 23 Your Honor, as your Honor understands, plaintiff's

hardship settlement process is certainly for those more indigent defendants, and based on the information we gleamed from public records, this defendant did not appear to qualify. Nonetheless, we sent paperwork and said please explain, tell us who this is, if you don't live there, please tell us. The attorney, in fact, Mr. Comenzo never returned paperwork to us. I personally left several voice mail messages for him in an attempt to get this information. He never returned it. Ms. Lawrence did actually leave me several voice mail messages, and each time I returned her call and said I need your attorney to tell me that he no longer represents you in order to satisfy my ethical obligations. We never heard back from the attorney and never heard back from Ms. Lawrence that the attorney didn't represent her.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MS. LAWRENCE: Your Honor, my boyfriend has owned that home for six years. I have no interest in that home. They're valuing at whatever, there's no driveway, there's no yard. My thing, it's not my home. I don't pay for it, so I feel like that's reflecting on my income. It's not my home.

THE COURT: But, again, this is all part of the informal part of it, which is that they can accept your representations or they cannot. If they don't, then they say you haven't answered in the formal part of the lawsuit, and they're entitled to go for statutory

damages, so, again, with respect to Ms. Lawrence, after 1 2 you finish a settlement conference with Mr. Tenenbaum, 3 they'll be a settlement conference with Ms. Lawrence. Ms. Desisto. 4 5 MS. DESISTO: Yes. THE COURT: You are someone who also has never 6 7 answered, and, again, they're seeking 6250 in damages, 8 \$6,000 in damages and 250 in costs. Has there been any 9 settlement discussions in your case? 10 MS. DESISTO: No, this is the first I've heard of 11 this case. I was never served with any papers as it 12 says I was on January 9th of 2006. 13 THE COURT: It was served in your hand to your mother, so you don't know anything about this. Are you 14 15 living at home? 16 MS. DESISTO: Yes. No, currently I don't live at 17 At that time I would have been in school, which home. 18 is when the incident occurred. My IP address at school 19 was shut off for a brief period of time. I spoke with 20 some legal, I'm not sure if they were legal, IT 21 department at my school on why they had put down my IT 22 address. They had notified me that there was a 23 possibility of copyright infringement, that it was 24 nothing confirmed. My computer actually wasn't in my 25 possession when everything went through, it was in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

possession of the IT department being fixed.

THE COURT: What do you mean at the time? You believed at the time of this downloading it was in the possession of the IT department?

MS. DESISTO: The date that they gave me as far as when the sharing occurred was not in my possession, no. My computer was never fully in my possession for the entire month that they said the eight songs were shared.

THE COURT: Okay. So Ms. Desisto at the conclusion of this hearing, they'll have a conference with you as well, and if you could prove that, you'd be out of the case. Okay. Mr. Atkinson. Hi.

MR. ATKINSON: Good morning, good afternoon. I didn't personally download any of those songs myself. My son had brought the computer to school only, and suddenly I understand that those songs were downloaded. I didn't do it personally, so I don't know if he downloaded the computer.

THE COURT: Where is your son now?

21 MR. ATKINSON: My son is 21 years old now, and he 22 is working.

THE COURT: Well, with you there was a default judgment, there was an amount that was entered, and now the record companies are going after the assets. The

judgment was in the amount of how much? 1 2 MR. MANN: \$4,650, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: \$4,650, so your son has to pay the 4 \$4,600 unless he can show that he doesn't have any 5 money. MR. ATKINSON: Okay. I'm retired now, I'm not 6 7 working. They're not asking you for this, this 8 THE COURT: 9 is your son needs to pay \$4,600 to the record 10 companies, who are in desperate need of this money, 11 unless your son can show that he has no way of paying 12 it. He has to respond. He has to be able to respond to this and indicate what his assets are and what his 13 liabilities are, do you understand? If he doesn't have 14 15 any money, he has to tell that to them. 16 MR. ATKINSON: Pardon me. 17 THE COURT: If your son doesn't have any money --18 MR. ATKINSON: Well, he just left school, he 19 doesn't have any money. THE COURT: He's in school now? 20 21 MR. ATKINSON: He just left school, he just graduated from school. He doesn't have any money now. 22 2.3 He asked me to negotiate, you know, to pay some kind of 24 funds. 25 THE COURT: Does he owe money from his school?

MR. ATKINSON: He doesn't have any money right 1 2 now, he just left school. 3 THE COURT: No, no, does he owe money from his 4 tuition? Oh, high school, he's in high school? 5 MR. ATKINSON: No, he just graduated from the 6 computer school so he doesn't have any money right now, 7 so he can negotiate with the recording people to see 8 how much he can pay. 9 THE COURT: Counsel, you will talk to Mr. Atkinson 10 afterwards to negotiate. 11 MR. MANN: Your Honor, I did speak with 12 Mr. Atkinson earlier, and I did mention that I'd be 13 more than interested in discussing settlement with him, 14 and he's already expressed that he has no inclination 15 to do so, and, further --16 THE COURT: No inclination to talk to you, no 17 inclination to pay? 18 To settle or pay. He actually MR. MANN: 19 expressed that he may want to retain counsel; however, 20 he also said he can't afford to do so. 21 THE COURT: This is the younger Mr. Atkinson or 22 this Mr. Atkinson? 23 That's what I wanted to do next. MR. MANN: Tt's 24 my understanding that this is the gentleman that the 25 default judgment is against and not his son, although I

could be wrong, my understanding that this is the gentleman that the default judgment was against.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. ATKINSON: I'm the senior, not the younger. I'm not the one who downloaded, it was my son who downloaded, not me. I am Alphon Atkinson. My son, Brian Atkinson, he's the one who downloaded. He's the kid who downloaded the songs from the internet. They come after me because my name is on the computer, but I bought the computer. At the time he downloaded, he was a child, okay, and I'm the father. He didn't have a job at the time, so I bought him a computer to use for school purposes only. They came after me because I bought a computer. My name is on the computer, you see what I'm saying, I didn't download it.

15 THE COURT: What happens, as I said, there are 16 three levels of cases here, the first level of cases is 17 where someone has answered and defended the case, and 18 we've dealt with those cases, those are the people that 19 have some -- where there's a discovery battle or 20 something like that, and that's Mr. Tenenbaum, as I 21 said, your dates are August 18th to either respond or 22 we'll try to get you a lawyer. Then there are the 23 default judgment people, that's Tracy Lawrence and 24 Ms. Desisto, and you guys are going to talk to them to 25 see if the case can be settled, and with the Tenebaums

as well so that the money doesn't get higher and higher.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Then with respect to Mr. Atkinson, if what he says is true that he didn't do the downloading, that it was his son who did the downloading and his son has no assets, you're getting water from a stone. What are you pursuing here?

MR. MANN: I don't know what the merits of the case were when the earlier court rendered a judgment against Mr. Atkinson.

11 THE COURT: There were no merits of the case, it 12 was a default judgment, all that happened, you sued, 13 there was silence on the other side, and then you come 14 up with a judgment of \$4,000 because that's the 15 statutory damages, and Congress says you can get it. 16 These people never defended, if now they brought 17 forward a defense --

18 MR. ATKINSON: Why are they suing me? I didn't19 download anything.

20 MRS. TENENBAUM: That's true of all these people, 21 he's been complaining forever that he has no assets and 22 that he will file for bankruptcy, and all these people 23 have said that's tough.

24 MR. MANN: Your Honor, if I may, this is why we 25 have supplementary process, this is why we bring debtors into court so we can examine in fact what their ability to pay is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

THE COURT: But you understand this is a terribly vicious cycle. On the one hand, you say we bring them into court so we can examine them. They come into court without a lawyer. They haven't a clue what these proceedings are. We have been trying to explain it to people, and then because they don't respond, the numbers keep on going up and up, and at a certain point after 133 cases in my court and countless around the country, the plaintiffs are going to realize this is making no sense and making them look bad.

Mr. Atkinson, you did not respond to the case, they sued you, you didn't respond. When you don't respond, the defenses that you're raising now don't get onto the court record. They may be legitimate defenses, but you never mentioned them before, then they wind up with a judgment for \$4,000.

MR. ATKINSON: Let me explain to you the reason why I didn't respond and the reason why I take so long to respond. This is my second time coming to this court, okay. When I came in the first time, there was so many people here in tears and confusion and everything else, I didn't get to talk to the Judge, okay. THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

MR. ATKINSON: I came here, and the plaintiffs were crying and everybody was so confused. When I walked in here, nothing else went on, I was confused myself. I walked in here and walked out of here without knowing what's going on, so I came without knowing what's going on, okay.

8 THE COURT: I'm going to take it as an oral motion 9 to set aside the default, the oral motion to set aside 10 the default which I may grant after you talk to the 11 record company representatives to see if they can If it is the case that it was your 12 settle the case. 13 son and your son has no money because he just graduated 14 college, then the case will be over or should be over 15 in the rational world, okay, so I'm going to take this 16 as an oral motion to set aside the default and after 17 today you respond.

18 MS. BURTON: Your Honor, if I may, this is 19 Eve Burton for the plaintiffs. I just feel the need, I 20 understand your Honor's concern, I absolutely do, and I 21 understand your Honor is not comfortable with this 22 The plaintiffs are in a very difficult litigation. 23 position, and I think it is important for the Court to 24 at least hear the plaintiffs' side of these cases that 25 there's massive piracy going on on the internet. It is

occurring largely by younger people, although throughout the community, thousands of jobs have been lost.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

There is real economic loss associated with this ranting piracy, and there's also a lack of respect for the copyright laws. People feel like they can, because it is anonymous on the internet, feel like they can anonymously infringe massive amounts of plaintiffs' copyright of sound recordings along with movies and other forms of media, and plaintiffs, as this Court, I'm sure is aware have pursued multiple avenues in trying to stem the tide of piracy.

13 Not only have they pursued the peer-to-peer 14 networks, as I know your Honor is aware of, they have 15 been engaged in massive educational campaigns through 16 public media as well as through the university system, 17 and they have really made, in my opinion, a valiant 18 attempt on multiple levels to try to stem this tide, 19 and yet, although there has been some progress, the 20 massive infringement continues, and plaintiffs feel 21 that they are left with no choice but to proceed 22 against individuals because, frankly, that is part of a 23 multi-faceted approach to trying to stem the tied of 24 piracy, and plaintiffs go to great lengths to try to 25 communicate with defendants to give them lots of

opportunities to settle.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

They make reasonable, in the plaintiffs' position, although I understand this Court may not always agree, they make reasonable settlement demands that increase over time as the plaintiffs are forced to expend time and money on this. They reach out at multiple levels, both before, both allowing defendants and even before they're named when they're just a number, if you will, or a John Doe, to settle anonymously so they don't have judgments, they don't have court cases filed against them. They reach out to them and ask if they have any defense, did anyone else do this, do you have a story to tell us, do you have exceptional circumstances?

THE COURT: I appreciate that. It is this 14 15 rationale that led to this law that is so much, so 16 protected of the record companies. The question though 17 first is this the way to stop it, the reasonable way to 18 stop it, and then I have individuals in front of me. Ι 19 have individuals in front of me who haven't the 20 foggiest idea what they're facing, and every effort to 21 try to deal with it, we face the same kind of thing 22 come before this Court alone and without counsel.

We had a parent once, you remember the case, who wrote to us and said I don't know what you're talking about, my son did this, I'm going to have to kill him because I don't have the money. I mean, there have been these stories, so this is just not the way to do it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

But that's my, you know, this is not accomplishing the task. I will certainly as long as these cases are in front of me, as I said, the best I can do is make sure people understand their rights and try to equalize the level playing field because in the final analysis no defense has worked except I wasn't the one -- two defenses have worked, it wasn't my computer and I didn't do it or I don't have a dime. Nothing else worked, having been litigated around the country, nothing else had worked. I am perfectly open to creative defenses, but I can only warn you that nothing has succeeded, so at this point, Mr. Atkinson, I want you to talk to counsel because if there was a wrong done in your case, let's see if we can address it.

18 I take your point, it's not an individual issue, 19 it's not a question of the record companies are 20 concerned with individuals who have done something 21 wrong, they are concerned with that, but it is part of 22 a larger picture, and I understand the larger picture. 23 The problem is I have to deal with human beings in 24 front of me, and these are human beings who are facing 25 overwhelming odds, and there is something wrong with

that picture.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MS. BURTON: As to Mr. Atkinson, your Honor, default judgment was entered in this case in 2005, and I understand his pro se status, and we are more than happy, in fact, would like to talk to both him and his son to work to resolve this, however plaintiffs would object to any motion and any order setting aside the default.

THE COURT: It's just a motion. I want the issue to be teed up. Whether it works will depend on the outcome of today's discussion.

MS. BURTON: Hopefully, your Honor. Hopefully it would be unnecessary. Hopefully we'd like to resolve it. If the motion ends up being made, we obviously would like an opportunity to brief it given the fact it's been well over one year, in fact, three years since default judgment was entered.

18 THE COURT: Ms. Lawrence, you had your hand up. 19 MS. LAWRENCE: Yes, your Honor. During the early 20 stages of this lawsuit, I had mentioned that my 21 daughter -- actually, my computer is in the main area, 22 and her and her friends would be on it listening to 23 music, whether it was on CD or whatnot, and I happened 24 to mention that my daughter did download this music, 25 and what their thinking, it was my IP address, it was

my home and I was responsible for it.

1

2

3

4

THE COURT: How old is your daughter?

MS. LAWRENCE: She is 19 away at college. She was 14 or 15 when it started.

5 THE COURT: All right, let's see if we can have discussions. Those of you who have taken the time to 6 7 come here, had an opportunity to talk meaningful, 8 either settlement or deal with the deadlines and 9 litigate the case. I don't want to force people into 10 settlements, but you have three choices in this case, 11 you either fight, and there are risks to that, or you 12 settle, but what you oughten do is ignore it because if 13 you ignore it, the numbers just keep on mounting up and you're not going to wind up -- the plaintiffs are not 14 15 going to forget about you, and there are numbers of 16 people who we asked to show up today who didn't show 17 up, and we're going to try to reach out to them in 18 another round. I am very patient.

19Those individuals who I mentioned we'll have a20settlement discussion following this, and I think21that's the only issue. There are a number of default22motions that I mentioned, people who haven't shown up,23and I will take those under advisement.24Christopher Savasta, the order was returned unclaimed.25MS. RUST: Your Honor, the Court sent Mr. Savasta

notice of this hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

THE COURT: Right.

MS. RUST: Certified mail, and it was returned as unclaimed. Plaintiffs then sent him to assure that he had notice of this hearing, sent him notice via both FedEx and via U.S. mail to the same address at which he was served originally where he was served by substitute service on his sister.

And, your Honor, after he was served with a complaint Mr. Savasta did call and speak to plaintiffs, so we do know that that was the correct address. It is plaintiff's opinion that he simply did not claim the mail, but he did have service.

14 THE COURT: And Ms. Cantone also returned as 15 unclaimed, Elisa Cantone? Cantone, Sturge and Ferrara 16 had on notice of this hearing had returned as 17 unclaimed, same circumstances?

18 MS. RUST: Same circumstances, your Honor. For 19 Ms. Cantone, let me represent to the Court we served 20 her at the 7 Karen Street address in Revere originally, 21 and that was on April 14th of 2007. When we received 22 notice that the notice of this hearing was returned as 23 unclaimed, we sent Federal Express and U.S. mail to her 24 both at her Karen Street address and a newer address 25 that was showing up in Acura, in public records, as

14 Pager Street, and we sent her notice of that hearing 1 2 at both addresses that were showing up. 3 THE COURT: Same with Sturge and Ferrara and Scott? 4 5 MS. RUST: Ms. Ferrara had both a Framingham 6 address, which was her permanent address, as well as an 7 Amherst, Massachusetts address, which was her school 8 address, and, same, we sent both a Federal Express and 9 U.S. mail notice to both of those. 10 THE COURT: And Scott? MS. RUST: Scott, we sent her Federal Express and 11 12 U.S. mail notice to the address at which she was 13 served, and we did have extensive discussions with Ms. Scott prior to filing this action. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I will take these under 16 advisement. I'm not exactly sure what I will do with 17 respect to the defaults, but I will look at them again. 18 I just want assurances that the addresses that we have 19 for them are correct. There's a conference room right 20 out here, an attorneys' conference room, and I'm happy 21 to have you use it to be able to confer. Thank you. 22 THE CLERK: All rise. 23 (A recess was taken.) 24 THE CLERK: All rise. United States District 25 Court is now in session. You can be seated. First, I

understand that Mr. Atkinson, that you've settled the case?

MR. MANN: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

THE COURT: Why don't you put that on the record. This is the case against Mr. Atkinson, it was in a default, it was in the supplementary process posture, but you've come to a settlement?

MR. MANN: That's right.

9 THE COURT: Will we have something in writing or 10 do you want to put it on the record? Go right ahead.

MR. MANN: Mr. Atkinson and the plaintiff have 11 12 agreed to resolve this matter on a payment plan of \$50 13 per month. It's going to take approximately seven 14 years and eight months for the judgment amount to be 15 paid off, and the judgment of \$4,650 is the amount to 16 which Mr. Atkinson will ultimately pay in full, and the 17 payments are going to be made to the lawfirm of 18 Arnowitz & Goldberg beginning the first payment no 19 later than July 31st and successive \$50 a month 20 payments thereafter. 21 THE COURT: And no interest? 22 MR. MANN: No interest. 23 Thank you. I'm glad you came. THE COURT: Thank 24 you very much. You don't have to stay. 25 (Whereupon, the hearing was suspended at

3:34 p.m.) CERTIFICATE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT) DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS) CITY OF BOSTON) I, Valerie A. O'Hara, Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was recorded by me stenographically at the time and place aforesaid in CV No. 03-11661-NG, Capital Records vs. Alajuan and CV No. 04-12434-NG, London Sire Records vs. Does 1 through 4 and thereafter by me reduced to typewriting and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. /S/ VALERIE A. O'HARA VALERIE A. O'HARA REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER