Market Place

Out of Computers, Is Xerox 'In' Stock?

BY ROBERT METZ

BY ROBI Until recently, the Xerox Corporation had been regard-ed in Wall Street as a story-book company. Emerging out of a moribund corpora-tion some years back, Xerox became a sensation as the producer of a patented dry process facsimile maker. The copying method became so popular and was so superior to the photographic methods of its day that the term Xerox because synonymous with of-fice copying. For years, it seemed that Xerox growth knew no bounds. The company, mod-eled by its management after the premier growth company, international Business Ma-chines, turned in 30 to 40 per cent growth rates an-nually in the Ninteen-Sixties. Inevitably, however, the growth rate slowed as Xerox began to fill its market po-tential and as vigorous com-petition began to arrive with similar processes, including, in recent years, one by I.B.M. In the late ninteen-sixties, Xerox began a diversifica-tion process that contem-plated an "office of the fu-ture" that would integrate phones, dictating equipment and storage and retrieval sys-tems and the substances of the fu-ture of the fu-ture

The company was fearful that, if it developed the sys-tem it had in mind without its own computer technology, it might be at the mercy of suppliers. Thus, in a move much debated in Wall Street at the time, Xerox purchased Scientific Data Systems, a mainframe computer maker that produced some of the largest equipment in the busilargest equipment in the business.

In a sense the Xerox move In a sense, the Xerox move was shrewdly thought out. A number of companies that have built equipment de-signed to be used with com-puters made by others have been left out in the cold when the mainframe maker has changed designs. Telex, Memorex and others have suffered as a result of design

has changed designs. Telex, Memorex and others have suffered as a result of design changes by I.B.M., the com-pany with which their equip-ment was designed to work. But the 1969 computer ac-quisition did not work well for Xerox and on Monday the company announced after the close of trading on the New York Stock Exchange that it would withdraw from the computer manufacturing busi-ness in about a year. The stock, weak all day, closed down $2\frac{1}{2}$ points at 65. Xerox fell $1\frac{1}{2}$ to $63\frac{7}{2}$ yesterday. The historic high for Xerox is $171\frac{7}{2}$, set in 1972. Last year it sold as low as 49. At the time of the acquisi-tion, many questions arose

At the time of the acquisi-tion, many questions arose concerning the \$900-million Xerox paid to get into the computer business, and the loss of the company has ex-perienced in computers has hurt profits at a time when Xerox growth is slowing. In the last five years, Xerox has grown at a re-

duced rate of about 15 per cent, causing some in Wall Street to characterize Xerox as a great company but no longer a great stock.

Disaffection with Xerox stock has been fairly wide-spread on Wall/Street. One analyst, who like several others asked not to be identi-fied, said that heavy volume in Xerox of late represented institutional selling. However, Xerox and the

fied, said that heavy volume in Xerox of late represented institutional selling. However, Xerox still has its fans. Its anticipated growth rate of 8 to 12 per cent in the next five years is regard-ed as acceptable by many in a period of generally slower economic growth. Burn Eaton of Mitchell, Hutchins, Inc., has been rec-ommending the stock right along. He believes the resolu-tion of Xerox computer prob-lems is "belated but positive." Like many others, he was trying to evaluate the effect the computer abandonment would have on the Xerox bottom line. A Xerox official reached by telephone reiter-ated remarks made yester-day by the Xerox chairman, C. Peter McColough, that the company expected a modest decline in earnings for 1975 from 1974 from continuing operations. Mr. Eaton said that it operations.

Mr. Eaton said that it sounded as though Xerox were expecting a slightly larger decline in earnings in the third and fourth quar-ters than previously antici-nated

ters than previously antici-pated. "I would guess maybe 5 to 10 cents a share [less] for the year," he said. "We've been anticipating \$4.15 to \$4.20 a share for the year 1975 so take 5 to 10 cents off of that

1975 so take 5 to 10 cents off of that. "But dropping losses from computer operations should bring the figure back to \$4.30 to \$4.40 a share. Last year Xerox earned \$4.14 a share," he said. "To make that comparable—that is by dropping last year's com-puter losses—the figure for 1975 would be about \$4.40 a share. That means a flat to down year on a compar-able basis in 1975.